

CORRUPTION AND CRIME COMMISSION —
REMOVAL OF NAME FROM TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENCE

888. Hon KEN TRAVERS to the parliamentary secretary representing the Attorney General:

I believe my question, of which some notice has been given, has been redirected to the parliamentary secretary representing the Attorney General.

I refer to the removal of a name from the Corruption and Crime Commission's transcript of evidence by Mr Kukulj on 7 December 2004, which is posted on the commission's website.

- (1) Were the normal procedures of the Corruption and Crime Commission followed in the request to have this name removed from the commission's website?
- (2) Given that in a letter dated 19 January 2006 the Corruption and Crime Commission stated that there was no need to suppress the name, what then transpired for an officer of the commission to advise that the name had been removed on 17 February 2006?
- (3) Did the commissioner issue a suppression order or was the name simply removed from the transcript on the website?
- (4) If a suppression order was not issued, who authorised the removal of the name?
- (5) What was the name and level of the officer who sent the email on 17 February 2006 advising that the name had been removed?

Hon MICHAEL MISCHIN replied:

I thank the member for some notice of this question.

The Attorney General advises that the Commissioner of the Corruption and Crime Commission reports and is accountable to the Western Australian Parliament directly. Accordingly, the honourable member is advised that these questions are more appropriately addressed directly to the commissioner.